

EXPEDITED SPCC SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTATION AGENCY REGION 7, 11201 RENNER BOULEVARD, LENEXA, KANSAS 66219 2016 JAN 12 AM 11: 10

RECEIVED

JAN 07 2016

AWMD/STOP

DOCKET NO. CWA-07-2015-0079

On: February 6, 2015

42.331266/-96.327137, north of Salix, Iowa At:

Owned or operated by, CJ Moyna & Sons, Inc. (Respondent), an authorized representative of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) conducted an inspection to determine compliance with the Oil Pollution Prevention (SPCC) regulations promulgated at 40 C.F.R. Part 112 under Section 311(j) of the Clean Water Act (33 U.S.C. § 1321(j)) (the Act), and found that Respondent had violated regulations implementing Section 311(j) of the Act by failing to comply with the regulations as noted on the attached SPILL PREVENTION CONTROL AND COUNTERMEASURES INSPECTION FINDINGS. ALLEGED VIOLATIONS, AND PROPOSED PENALTY FORM (Form), which is hereby incorporated by reference. FORM (Form), which is hereby incorporated by reference.

This proceeding and the Expedited Settlement are under the authority vested in the Administrator of the EPA by Section 311(b)(6)(B)(i) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. \$ 1321(b)(6)(B)(i), as amended by the Oil Pollution Act of 1990, and by 40 C.F.R. \$ 22.13(b). The parties enter into this Expedited Settlement in order to settle the civil violations described in the Form for a penalty of \$3500.

This settlement is subject to the following terms and conditions:

The EPA finds that Respondent is subject to the SPCC regulations, which are published at 40 C.F.R. Part 112, and has violated the regulations as further described in the Form. Respondent admits that he/she is subject to 40 C.F.R. Part 112 and that the EPA has jurisdiction over Respondent and Respondent's conduct as described in the Form. Respondent does not contest the Inspection Findings, and waives any objections it may have to the EPA's jurisdiction.

Respondent consents to the assessment of the penalty stated above. Respondent certifies, subject to civil and criminal penalties for making a false submission to the United States Government, that the violations have been corrected and **Respondent has sent a certified check in the amount of** \$3500, payable to the "Environmental Protection Agency," via certified mail to:

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency P.O. Box 979077 St. Louis, Missouri 63197-9000

and Respondent has noted on the penalty payment check Docket No. CWA-07-2015-0079 and "OSLTF – 311." The original, signed Settlement Agreement and copy of the penalty payment check must be sent via certified mail to:

Mark R. Aaron U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 7, AWMD/STOP 11201 Renner Boulevard Lenexa, Kansas 66219

This Expedited Settlement resolves Respondent's liability for Federal civil penalties for the violations of the SPCC regulations described in the Form. However, the EPA does not waive any rights to take any enforcement action for any other past, present, or future violations by Respondent of the SPCC regulations or of any other federal statute or regulations. By its first signature, the EPA ratifies the Inspection Findings and Alleged Violations set forth in the Form.

Upon signing and returning this Expedited Settlement to the EPA, Respondent waives the opportunity for a hearing or appeal pursuant to Section 311 of the Act, and consents to the EPA's approval of the Expedited Settlement without further notice.

This Expedited Settlement is binding on the parties signing below, and is effective upon the Regional Judicial Officer's signature.

APPROVED BY EPA: Date 1/10/14 Chief, Storage Tanks & Oil Pollution Branch (STOP)

Air & Waste Management Division (AWMD)

APPROVED BY RESPONDENT:

Name (print): Eric Kann
Title (print): General Counsel
Signature: Eric Kann
Date: Jan. 5, 2016

The estimated cost for correcting the violation(s) is:

5,000.00

IT IS SO ORDERED:

Karina Borromeo Date 1/12/16

Regional Judicial Officer

RECEIVED

JAN 07 2016

AWMD/STOP

Enter Arana General Loward Side F Strend

June 5 2. VE

144 - 600 - E

Kanara Bernarius 1/12/11

All the factor is the second sec

Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure Inspection Findings, Alleged Violations, and Proposed Penalty Form

(Note: Do not use this form if there is no secondary containment)

These Findings, Alleged Violations and Penalties are issued by EPA Region 7 under the authority vested in the Administrator of the EPA by Section 311(b)(6)(B)(I) of the Clean Water Act, as amended by the Oil Pollution Act of 1990.

ITED STAN

	Docket Number	15
CJ Moyna & Sons, Inc	*	*
Facility Name	CWA-07-2015-0079 Date February 6, 2015	
IDOT I-29 Construction	February 6, 2015	S AN
Address	Facility ID Number	ECHOT
42.331266, -96.327137	R7-IA-00266	
City	Inspector's Name	
Salix	Mark R. Aaron	
State Zip Code	EPA Approving Official	
Iowa N/A	Margaret E. Stockdale	
Contract	Enforcement Contact	
Contact Jason Marmann		
No Spill Prevention Control and Countermea Plan not certified by a professional engineer/	sure $PIan/12.3$ (\$1,500)	
Plan not certified by a professional engineer	12 2/11 (#450)	ć.ro
i lai not certified by a protessional engineen	<i>12.3(d)</i> (\$450)	\$450
Certification lacks one or more required elem	a frances of the second factor of the strength product	\$450
Certification lacks one or more required elem	a frances of the second factor of the strength product	\$450
Certification lacks one or more required elem	nents/12.3(d)(1) (\$100) st four hrs/day) or not available for review 12.3(e)(1) (\$300)	\$450 \$75
Certification lacks one or more required elem Plan not maintained on site (if manned at leas	hents/12.3(d)(1) (\$100) st four hrs/day) or not available for review/12.3(e)(1) (\$300) wner/operator/12.5(b) (\$75) a change in: design, construction, operation,	
Certification lacks one or more required elem Plan not maintained on site (if manned at leas No evidence of five-year review of plan by or No plan amendment(s) if the facility has had	hents/12.3(d)(1) (\$100) st four hrs/day) or not available for review/12.3(e)(1) (\$300) wner/operator/12.5(b) (\$75) a change in: design, construction, operation, 's discharge potential/12.5(a) (\$75)	\$75
Certification lacks one or more required elem Plan not maintained on site (if manned at leas No evidence of five-year review of plan by or No plan amendment(s) if the facility has had or maintenance which affects the facility	hents/12.3(d)(1) (\$100) st four hrs/day) or not available for review/12.3(e)(1) (\$300) wner/operator/12.5(b) (\$75) a change in: design, construction, operation, 's discharge potential/12.5(a) (\$75) H engineer/12.5(c) (\$150)	\$75 \$75
Certification lacks one or more required elem Plan not maintained on site (if manned at leas No evidence of five-year review of plan by or No plan amendment(s) if the facility has had or maintenance which affects the facility Amendment(s) not certified by a professional No management approval of plan <i>112.7</i> (\$45	hents/12.3(d)(1) (\$100) st four hrs/day) or not available for review/12.3(e)(1) (\$300) wner/operator/12.5(b) (\$75) a change in: design, construction, operation, 's discharge potential/12.5(a) (\$75) H engineer/12.5(c) (\$150)	\$75 \$75 \$150

Plan does not discuss additional procedures/methods/equipment not yet fully operational 12.7 (\$75)

1

1

1

1

 \checkmark

1

	Plan does not discuss alternative environmental protection to SPCC requirements $12.7(a)(2)$ (\$200)					
7	Plan has inadequate or no facility diagram 112.7(a)(3) (\$75)	\$7				
J	Inadequate or no listing of type of oil and storage capacity layout of containers $12.7(a)(3)(i)$ (\$50)					
	Inadequate or no discharge prevention measures/12.7(a)(3)(ii) (\$50)					
	Inadequate or no description of drainage controls/12.7(a)(3)(iii) (\$50)					
	Inadequate or no description of countermeasures for discharge discovery, response					
	and cleanup $112.7(a)(3(iv) (\$50))$ Recovered materials not disposed of in accordance with legal requirements $12.7(a)(3)(v)$ (\$50)					
	No contact list & phone numbers for response & reporting discharges 12.7(a)(3)(vi) (\$50)					
	Plan has inadequate or no information and procedures for reporting a discharge 12.7(a)(4) (\$100)					
	Plan has inadequate or no description and procedures to use when a discharge may $occut 12.7(a)(5)$ (\$150)					
~	Inadequate or no prediction of equipment failure which could result in discharges 12.7(b) (\$150)	\$1				
	Plan does not discuss and facility does not implement appropriate containment/diversionary stuctures/ equipment 112.7 (\$400)					
	If claiming impracticability of contiainment and appropriate diversionary structures: Impracticability has not been clearly denoted and demonstrated in plan $12.7(d)$ (\$100)					
	No contingency plan $112.7(d)(1)$ (\$150)					
	No written commitment of manpower, equipment, and materials $12.7(d)(2)$ (\$150)					
	No periodic integrity and leak testing, if impracticability is claimed/12.7(d) (\$150)					
	Plan has no or inadequate discussion of general requirements not already specified 12.7(j) (\$75)					
	QUALIFIED FACILITY REQUIREMENTS: 112.6					
	Qualified Facility: No Self certification 112.6(a) (\$450)	-				
	Qualified Facility: Self certification lacks required elements 12.6(a) (\$100)					
	Qualified Facility: Technical amendments not certified/12.6(b) (\$150)					
	Qualified Facility: Un-allowed deviations from requirements 12.6(c) (\$100)					
	Qualified Facility: Environmental Equivalence or Impracticability not certified by PE12.6(d) (\$350)					
	WRITTEN PROCEDURES AND INSPECTION RECORDS 112.7(e)					
	Plan does not include inspections and test procedures in accordance with 40 CFR Part 11212.7(e) (\$75)					
_	Inspections and tests required are not in accordance with written procedures developed					

Inspections and tests required are not in accordance with written procedures developed for the facility 112.7(e) (\$75)

V	No Inspection records were available for review 12.7(e) (\$200) (Written procedures and/or a record of inspections and/or customary business records)	\$200
	Inspection records are not signed by appropriate supervisor or inspector 12.7(e) (\$75)	
	Inspection records are not maintained for three years/12.7(e) (\$75)	
	PERSONNEL TRAINING AND DISCHARGE PREVENTION PROCEDURES 112.7(f)	
	No training on the operation and maintenance of equipment to prevent discharges and/or facility operations 112.7(f)(1) (\$75)	
	No training on discharge procedure protocols/12.7(f)(1) (\$75)	
7	No training on the applicable pollution control laws, rules and regulations, and/or SPCC plan 12.7(f)(1) (\$75)	\$75
	Training records not maintained for three years 12.7(f)(1) (\$75)	
7	No designated person accountable for spill prevention $12.7(f)(2)$ (\$75)	\$75
7	Spill prevention briefings are not scheduled and conducted at least annually 12.7(f)(3) (\$75)	\$75
	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of personnel and spill prevention procedures $12.7(a)(1)$ (\$75)	
	SECURITY (excluding Production Facilities) 112.7(g)	
	Facility not fully fenced and entrance gates are not locked and/or guarded when plant is unattended or not in production/12.7(g)(1) (\$150)	
	Master flow and drain values that permit direct outward flow to the surface are not secured in a closed when in a non-operating or standby status $12.7(g)(2)$ (\$300)	
	Starter controls on pumps are not locked in the "off" position or located at a site accessible only to authorized personnel when pumps are not in a non-operating or standby status $12.7(g)(3)$ (\$75)	
	Loading and unloading connection(s) of piping/pipelines are not capped or blank-flanged when not in service or standby status 112.7(g)(4) (\$75)	
	Facility lighting not adequate to facilitate the discovery of spills during hours of darkness and to deter vandalism $112.7(g)(5)$ (\$150)	
	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of facility security $12.7(a)(1)$ (\$75)	
_	FACILITY TANK CAR AND TANK TRUCK LOADING/UNLOADING 112.7(c) and/or (h-j)	
-	Inadequate containment for Loading Area [not consistent with 112.7(c)][12.7(c) (\$400)	\$400
	Inadequate secondary containment, and/or rack drainage does not flow to catchment basin treatment system, or quick drainage system 12.7(h)(1) (\$750)	
	Containment system does not hold at least the maximum capacity of the largest single compartment of any tank car or tank truck $1/2.7(h)(1)$ (\$450)	

of any tank car or tank truck 112.7(h)(1) (\$450)

There are no interlocked warning lights, or physical barrier system, or warning signs, or vehicle brake (\$300) interlock system to prevent vehicular departure before complete disconnect from transfer lines $12.7(h)(2)$				
There is no inspection of lowermost drains and all outlets prior to filling and departure of any tank car or tank truck- $112.7(h)(3)$ (\$150)				
Plan has inadequate or no discussion of facility tank car and tank truck loading/unloading rack 12.7(a)(1) (\$75)				
QUALIFIED OIL OPERATIONAL EQUIPMENT 112.7(k)				
Failure to establish and document procedures for inspections or a monitoring program to detect equipment failure and/or a discharge $112.7(k)(2)(i)$ (\$150)				
Failure to provide an oil spill contingency $plan l l 2.7(k)(2)(ii)(A)$ (\$150)				
No written commitment of manpower, equipment, and materials 12.7(k)(2)(ii)(B) (\$150)				
FACILITY DRAINAGE 112.8(b) & (c)				
Secondary Containment circumvented due to containment bypass valves left open and/or pumps and ejectors not manually activated to prevent a discharge/12.8(b)(1)and(2), and 112.8(c)(3)(i) (\$600)				
Dike water is not inspected prior to discharge and/or valves not open & resealed under responsible supervision 112.8(c)(3)(ii)and(iii) (\$450)				
Adequate records (or NPDES permit records) of drainage from diked areas not maintained 12.8(c)(3)(iv) (\$75)				
Drainage from undiked areas do not flow into catchment basins ponds or lagoons, or no diversion system to retain or return a discharge to the facility 112.8(b)(3)and(4) (\$450)				
Two "lift" pumps are not provided for more that one treatment $unit 12.8(b)(5)$ (\$50)				
Plan has inadequate or no discussion of facility drainage 12.7(a)(1) (\$75)				
BULK STORAGE CONTAINERS 112.8(c)				
Plan has inadequate or no risk analysis and/or evaluation of field-constructed aboveground tanks for brittle fracture 112.7(i) (\$75)				
Failure to conduct evaluation of field-constructed aboveground tanks for brittle fracture 12.7(i) (\$300)				
Material and construction of tanks not compatible to the oil stored and the conditions of storage such as pressure and temperature $112.8(c)(1)$ (\$450)				
Secondary containment appears to be inadequate $12.8(c)(2)$ (\$750)				
Containment systems, including walls and floors, are not sufficiently impervious to contain oil 12.8(c)(2) (\$375)				
Excessive vegetation which affects the integrity (\$150)				
Walls of containment system slightly eroded or have low areas (\$300)				
Completely buried tanks are not protected from corrosion or are not subjected to regular pressure testing 112.8(c)(4) (\$150)				

	Partially buried tanks do not have buried sections protected from corrosion $12.8(c)(5)$ (\$150)				
	Aboveground tanks are not subject to visual inspections $12.8(c)(6)$ (\$450)				
7	Aboveground tanks are not subject to periodic integrity testing, such as hydrostatic, nondestructive methods, etc. 112.8(c)(6) (\$450)				
	Records of inspections (or customary business records) do not include inspections of tank supports, foundation, deterioration, discharges and/or accumulations of oil inside diked areas 12.8(c)(6) (\$75)				
	Steam return/exhaust of internal heating coils which discharge into an open water course are not monitored, passed through a settling tank, skimmer or other separation system 12.8(c)(7) (\$150)				
	Tank battery installations are not in accordance with good engineering practice because none of the following are present <i>112.8(c)(8)</i> (\$450)				
	No testing of liquid level sensing devices to ensure proper operation $12.8(c)(8)(v)$ (\$75)				
	Effluent treatment facilities which discharge directly to navigable waters are not observed frequently to detect oil spills 112.8(c)(9) (\$150)				
v	Causes of leaks resulting in accumulations of oil in diked areas are not promptly corrected 12.8(c)(10) (\$450)	\$450			
	Mobile or portable storage containers are not positioned to prevent discharged oil from reaching navigable water 112.8(c)(11) (\$150)				
	Secondary containment inadequate for mobile or portable storage tanks 12.8(c)(11) (\$500)				
	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of bulk storage tanks/12.7(a)(1) (\$75)				
	EACH ITY TRANSFER OPERATIONS RUMPING AND RACH ITY PROCESS US OF				
	FACILITY TRANSFER OPERATIONS, PUMPING, AND FACILITY PROCESS 112.8(d)	_			
	Buried piping is not corrosion protected with protective wrapping, coating or cathodic protection	=			
		-			
	Buried piping is not corrosion protected with protective wrapping, coating or cathodic protection protection <i>112.8(d)(1)</i> (\$150)	=			
	 Buried piping is not corrosion protected with protective wrapping, coating or cathodic protection protection protection 112.8(d)(1) (\$150) Corrective action is not taken on exposed sections of buried piping when deterioration is found12.8(d)(1) (\$450) 	-			
	 Buried piping is not corrosion protected with protective wrapping, coating or cathodic protection protection protection 112.8(d)(1) (\$150) Corrective action is not taken on exposed sections of buried piping when deterioration is found/2.8(d)(1) (\$450) Not-in-service or standby piping is not capped or blank-flanged and marked as to origin 12.8(d)(2) (\$75) Pipe supports are not properly designed to minimize abrasion and corrosion, and allow for 	-			
	 Buried piping is not corrosion protected with protective wrapping, coating or cathodic protection protection protection 112.8(d)(1) (\$150) Corrective action is not taken on exposed sections of buried piping when deterioration is found12.8(d)(1) (\$450) Not-in-service or standby piping is not capped or blank-flanged and marked as to origid 12.8(d)(2) (\$75) Pipe supports are not properly designed to minimize abrasion and corrosion, and allow for expansion and contraction112.8(d)(3) (\$75) 	-			
	 Buried piping is not corrosion protected with protective wrapping, coating or cathodic protection protection 112.8(d)(1) (\$150) Corrective action is not taken on exposed sections of buried piping when deterioration is found/2.8(d)(1) (\$450) Not-in-service or standby piping is not capped or blank-flanged and marked as to origin 12.8(d)(2) (\$75) Pipe supports are not properly designed to minimize abrasion and corrosion, and allow for expansion and contraction 112.8(d)(3) (\$75) Aboveground valves, piping and appurtenances are not inspected regularly 12.8(d)(4) (\$300) 	-			
	 Buried piping is not corrosion protected with protective wrapping, coating or cathodic protection protection 112.8(d)(1) (\$150) Corrective action is not taken on exposed sections of buried piping when deterioration is found/2.8(d)(1) (\$450) Not-in-service or standby piping is not capped or blank-flanged and marked as to origid 12.8(d)(2) (\$75) Pipe supports are not properly designed to minimize abrasion and corrosion, and allow for expansion and contraction 112.8(d)(3) (\$75) Aboveground valves, piping and appurtenances are not inspected regularly 12.8(d)(4) (\$300) Periodic integrity and leak testing of buried piping is not conducted 12.8(d)(4) (\$150) 	-			
	 Buried piping is not corrosion protected with protective wrapping, coating or cathodic protection protection 112.8(d)(1) (\$150) Corrective action is not taken on exposed sections of buried piping when deterioration is found(2.8(d)(1) (\$450) Not-in-service or standby piping is not capped or blank-flanged and marked as to origin(12.8(d)(2) (\$75) Pipe supports are not properly designed to minimize abrasion and corrosion, and allow for expansion and contraction 112.8(d)(3) (\$75) Aboveground valves, piping and appurtenances are not inspected regularly 12.8(d)(4) (\$300) Periodic integrity and leak testing of buried piping is not conducted 12.8(d)(4) (\$150) Vehicle traffic is not warned of aboveground piping or other oil transfer operation\$12.8(d)(5) (\$150) 	\$150			

- and the second of the second strategies of particular second strategies of
- - - [1] A. M. Martin, and A. M. Martin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 10, 101 (1996).
- - - and the second sec
 - the second second second second second

- - - (b) where the second se
 - (a) A set of the se

 - a set of the set of th

IN THE MATTER Of CJ Moyna & Sons, Inc., Respondent Docket No. CWA-07-2015-0079

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Order was sent this day in the following manner to the addressees:

Copy emailed to:

aaron.mark@epa.gov

Copy by First Class Mail to Respondent:

Eric Kann, General Counsel CJ Moyna & Sons, Inc. 24412 Highway I-13 Elkader, Iowa 52043

15 Dated:

14noon

Kathy Robinson Hearing Clerk, Region 7